

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 G06T11/00 A61B6/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) $IPC\ 7\ G06T\ A61B$

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the International search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

INSPEC, EPO-Internal

Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.	
K	KOENIG ANNE ET AL.: "Dynamic reconstruction for radiotherapy planning" CARS, COMPUTER ASSISTED RADIOLOGY AND SURGERY, 16TH INTERNATIONAL CONGRESS AND EXHIBITION, PARIS, JUNE 26 - 29, 2002, PROCEEDINGS, pages 521-526, XP008031712 Paris abstract section 2 "Methodology"	1,15,18	
A	WO 02/103639 A (PHILIPS CORP INTELLECTUAL PTY; GRASS MICHAEL (NL); RASCHE VOLKER () 27 December 2002 (2002-12-27) page 4, line 23 - line 34 page 5, line 28 - line 34	1-4, 13-16	

X Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	χ Patent family members are listed in annex.			
Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the International filling date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the International filling date but later than the priority date claimed	 "T" later document published after the International filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "&" document member of the same patent family 			
Date of the actual completion of the international search 16 June 2004	Date of mailing of the international search report 22/06/2004			
Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL – 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Authorized officer Knüpling, M			

In tional Application No
PCT/IB2004/050239

		PCT/1B2004	7050239
	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	ļ	televant to claim No.
A	EP 1 087 339 A (GE MEDICAL SYST SA) 28 March 2001 (2001-03-28) paragraphs '0007!,'0017!,'0020!	-	1,18
	,		
	·		
		·	

information on patent family members

١	Interional Application No
	PCT/IB2004/050239

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	l e	Publication date
WO 02103639	Α	27-12-2002	DE WO	10129631 02103639		02-01-2003 27-12-2002
EP 1087339	Α	28-03-2001	FR EP JP US	2799030 1087339 2001155144 6385285	A1 A	30-03-2001 28-03-2001 08-06-2001 07-05-2002



Box II	Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of Item 2 of first sheet)
This inte	rnational Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
1. X	Claims Nos.: 11, 17 because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely: Rule 39.1(iv) PCT — Method for treatment of the human or animal body by
2.	Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international Search can be carried out, specifically:
з. 🗌	Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box III	Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
This Inte	rnational Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
1.	As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2.	As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3.	As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4.	No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the Invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark	on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest. No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.